

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,980	03/01/2002	Osman Kent	TD-168 6304	
29106	7590 11/04/2003		EXAMINER	
	ROOVER III	TUNG, KEE M		
11330 VALLEYDALE DR. DALLAS, TX 75230			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2676	6
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/086,980	KENT, OSMAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kee M Tung	2676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 N</u>	<u> 1arch 2002</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arinici.					
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 11 C.C. \$ 110/	a) (d) as (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority diluci 35 0.5.0. § 119(	a)-(u) or (r).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have heen received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	, only 20130, 00 0,0,0, 33 120					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/086,980

Art Unit: 2676 '

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 3 is repeated from claim 2. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldwin (6,025,853) in view of Brend et al (5,459,864).

Baldwin teaches a graphics processor (2E) comprising a plurality of parallelized graphics computational units (col. 64, lines 16-21, 25-29 and 38-40), such as, rasterizer, scissor, stipple, alpha test, fog, texture, stencil test, depth test, local and frame buffer controllers. However, Baldwin fails to explicitly teach or suggest one or more task allocation units programmed to bypass defective ones of said subunits within said groups, and distribute incoming tasks only among operative ones of said subunits. It is old and well known and well used in the art to dynamically load balanced among multiple processors include skip or bypass defective unit(s). Furthermore, Brent teaches a load balancing, error recovery and reconfiguration control in a data movement subsystem with cooperating plural queue processors (Fig. 2, abstract, col. 2, lines 39-45, col. 5, lines 49-52 and col. 6, lines 11-18). It would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/086,980

Art Unit: 2676

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to combine the

Page 3

teachings of Brend into the system of Baldwin in order to automatic load balancing

among plural processors, automatic recovery from any failing processor, and automatic

reconfiguration for the subsystem containing the processors without intervention from

the operating system as taught by Brend (col. 1, lines 18-24). Therefore, at least claims

1-7 would have been obvious.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kee M Tung whose telephone number is 703-305-9660.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 6:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 703-308-6829. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-

0377.

Kee M Tung

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2676